



**Australian Government**  
**Department of Health and Ageing**  
NICNAS

## **INVENTORY MULTI-TIERED ASSESSMENT AND PRIORITISATION (IMAP)**



**HUMAN HEALTH TIER II ASSESSMENT FOR**  
**2-Propanol, 1,1',1''-nitrilotris-**  
**CAS Registry Number: 122-20-3**

## **PREFACE**

As part of the reform regarding assessment of Existing Chemicals, the National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme (NICNAS) is implementing a new framework to address the human health and environmental impacts of industrial chemicals, not yet assessed, on the Australian Inventory of Chemical Substances (AICS).

The framework provides a more rapid, flexible and transparent approach for the assessment of existing chemicals.

The Inventory Multi-tiered Assessment and Prioritisation (IMAP) framework was developed, with significant input from stakeholders, and will be applied in stages.

Stage One of this program, which will take three years, started 1 July 2012 and is examining 3000 chemicals meeting characteristics identified by stakeholders as needing priority assessment. This includes chemicals for which NICNAS already holds exposure information, chemicals identified as a concern or for which regulatory action has been taken overseas, and chemicals detected in international studies analysing chemicals present in babies' umbilical cord blood.

The IMAP framework is a science and risk-based model designed to align the assessment effort with the human health and environmental impacts of chemicals. It has three tiers of assessment, with the assessment effort increasing with each tier. The Tier I assessment is a high throughput approach using tabulated electronic data. The Tier II assessment is an evaluation of risk on a substance-by-substance or chemical category-by-category basis. Tier III assessments are conducted to address specific concerns that could not be resolved during the Tier II assessment.

This chemical/group of chemicals is/are being assessed at Tier II because the Tier I assessment indicated that it needed further investigation.

**For more detail on the new program please visit: [www.nicnas.gov.au](http://www.nicnas.gov.au)**

### **Disclaimer**

NICNAS has made every effort to assure the quality of information available in this report. However, before relying on it for a specific purpose, users should obtain advice relevant to their particular circumstances. This report has been prepared by NICNAS using a range of sources, including information from databases maintained by third parties, which include data supplied by industry. NICNAS has not verified and cannot guarantee the correctness of all information obtained from those databases. Reproduction or further distribution of this information may be subject to copyright protection. Use of this information without obtaining the permission from the owner(s) of the respective information might violate the rights of the owner. NICNAS does not take any responsibility whatsoever for any copyright or other infringements that may be caused by using this information.

**ACRONYMS & ABBREVIATIONS**

ACToR	Aggregated Computational Toxicology Resource (US)
AICS	Australian Inventory of Chemical Substances
ASTDR	Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (US)
bw	bodyweight
CAS	Chemical Abstracts Service
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations (US)
CHO	Chinese hamster ovary
CosIng	Cosmetic Ingredients and Substances database (EU)
d	day
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
EC	European Commission
EC3	Estimated concentration three
ECHA	European Chemicals Agency
ESIS	European Chemical Substances Information System
EU	European Union
EU RAR	European Union Risk Assessment Report
FDA	Food and Drug Administration (US)
FSANZ	Food Standards Australia and New Zealand
g	gram
g/mol	grams per mole
GHS	Globally Harmonized System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals*
GLP	Good Laboratory Practice
GMP	Good Manufacturing Practice
GPMT	Guinea Pig Maximisation Test
h	hour
HGPRT	hypoxanthine guanine phosphoribosyltransferase
HPV	high production volume
HSDB	Hazardous Substances Data Bank
HSIS	Hazardous Substances Information System
HVICL	High Volume Industrial Chemicals List
IARC	International Agency for Research on Cancer
INCHEM	International Programme on Chemical Safety (also known as IPCS)
INCI	International Nomenclature of Cosmetic Ingredients
ip	intraperitoneal
IRIS	Integrated Risk Information System (US)
IUCLID	International Uniform Chemical Information Database
iv	intravenous
kg	kilogram
L	litre
LC50	median lethal concentration
LD50	median lethal dose
LCLo	lowest published lethal concentration
LLNA	local lymph node assay
LOAEL	lowest observed adverse effect level
LOEL	lowest observed effect level
m <sup>3</sup>	cubic metre
mg	milligram
mg/cm <sup>3</sup>	milligrams per cubic centimetre
mg/kg bw/d	milligrams per kilogram bodyweight per day
min	minute
mL	millilitre
µg	microgram
µL	microlitre
(m)SDS	(material) Safety Data Sheet

NIOSH	National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (US)
NOAEC	no observed adverse effect concentration
NOAEL	no observed adverse effect level
NOEC	no observed effect concentration
NOEL	no observed effect level
NOHSC	National Occupational Health and Safety Commission
NTP	National Toxicology Program (US)
OECD	Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development
OEL	occupational exposure limit
PCBU	person conducting a business or undertaking
PEL	permissible exposure limit
PND	postnatal day
ppb	parts per billion
PPE	personal protective equipment
ppm	parts per million
REACH	Registration Evaluation Authorisation of Chemicals (ECHA)
SD	Sprague Dawley
SIAP	SIDS Initial Assessment Profile (OECD)
SIAR	SIDS Initial Assessment Report (OECD)
SIDS	Screening Information Data Set (OECD)
SMILES	simplified molecular-input line-entry system
SPIN	Substances in Preparations In the Nordic countries
STEL	short-term exposure limits
STV	short-term value
SUSMP	Standard for the Uniform Scheduling of Medicines and Poisons (The Poisons Standard**)
TCLo	lowest published toxic concentration
TEEL	temporary emergency exposure limits
TSCA	Toxic Substances Control Act (US EPA)
TG	test guideline
TGA	Therapeutic Goods Administration
TLV	threshold limit values
TWA	time weighted average
UN	United Nations
US	United States of America
US EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
WHS	Work, Health and Safety
wt	weight
w/w	weight per weight

### Glossary

NICNAS uses the IPCS Risk Assessment Terminology (IPCS, 2004) glossary, which includes:

Part 1: IPCS/OECD Key Generic Terms used in Chemical Hazard/Risk Assessment; and

Part 2: IPCS Glossary of Key Exposure Assessment Terminology.

The IPCS Risk Assessment Terminology can be accessed at:

<http://www.who.int/ipcs/methods/harmonization/areas/ipcsterminologyparts1and2.pdf>

\*Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS) United Nations, 2009.


Third edition. Can be accessed at: [http://www.unece.org/trans/danger/publi/ghs/ghs\\_rev03/03files\\_e.html](http://www.unece.org/trans/danger/publi/ghs/ghs_rev03/03files_e.html)

\*\*The Poisons Standard (the SUSMP) can be accessed at: <http://www.tga.gov.au/industry/scheduling-poisons-standard.htm>

**2-Propanol, 1,1',1''-nitrilotris-**

CAS No: 122-20-3

**Chemical Identity**

<b>Synonyms</b>	Triisopropanolamine 1,1',1''-Nitrilotri-2-propanol Tris(2-hydroxypropyl)amine Tris(2-propanol)amine TIPA
<b>Structural Formula</b>	
<b>Molecular Formula</b>	C9H21NO3
<b>Molecular Weight (g/mol)</b>	191.269
<b>Appearance and Odour (where available)</b>	Solid white crystal with a slightly ammonia-like odour
<b>SMILES</b>	C(C)(O)CN(CC(C)O)CC(C)O

**Import, Manufacture and Use****Australian**

The chemical is listed on the 2006 High Volume Industrial Chemicals List (HVICL) with a total reported volume between 100 and 1000 tonnes.

The chemical has reported commercial use including:

- an additive in construction materials; and
- as a component of surface-active agents and corrosion inhibitors.

**International**

The following international uses have been identified through the European Union Registration, Evaluation and Authorisation of Chemicals (EU REACH) dossiers, the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development Screening information data set International Assessment Report (OECD SIAR), Galleria Chemica, Substances and Preparations in the Nordic countries (SPIN) database, the European Commission Cosmetic Substances and Ingredients (CosIng) database, United States (US) Personal Care Products Council International Nomenclature of Cosmetic Ingredients (INCI) directory and other data sources via eChemPortal including the US Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Aggregated Computer Toxicology Resource (ACToR), and the US National Library of Medicine's Hazardous Substances Data Bank (HSDB):

The chemical has reported cosmetic use as:

- a buffering agent in cosmetic products; and
- an ingredient found in personal care products such as bubble-bath formulations, shampoos, and waterless hand cleaners.

The chemical has reported domestic use including:

- as a component in all-purpose cleaners, fine fabric washes, and light-duty dishwashing liquids.

The chemical has reported commercial use including:

- in coatings as a cross-linker and acid neutraliser;

- grinding aid in cement and concrete;
- in rubber production, electroplating processes, and textile finishing operations; and
- as an additive in fuel, in plastics, and in wood protection.

The chemical has reported site-limited use including:

- as a processing aid in paper, textiles, and leather; and
- in industrial gas treatments and metal working fluids.

## **Restrictions**

### **Australian**

No known restrictions have been identified.

### **International**

#### ***Cosmetics***

US Cosmetic Ingredient Review (CIR) found the chemical to be safe, with qualifications:

- Safe for use in cosmetic products, but should not be used in products in which N-nitroso compounds can be formed.

Listed on Cosmetics Directive (CosIng) with a Cosmetic restriction - Annex III/62 (List of substances which cosmetic products must not contain, except subject to the restrictions laid down):

- Maximum concentration in ready for use preparation is 2.5%, do not use with nitrosating systems, minimum purity of 99%, maximum secondary amine content of 0.5% (applies to raw materials), maximum nitrosamine content of 50µg/kg, keep in nitrite free containers.

#### ***Food Packaging***

European Commission Regulation (EU) No 10/2011 of 14 January 2011 on plastic materials and articles intended to come into contact with food - Annex I: Substances.

US FDA Indirect Food Additives: Adhesives and Components of Coatings - Substances for Use Only as Components of Adhesives.

Canada Ingredient Disclosure List (SOR/88-64) if greater than a concentration of 1% w/w.

## **Existing Worker Health And Safety Controls**

### **Hazard classification**

The chemical is classified as hazardous with the following risk phrases for human health in the Hazardous Substances Information System (HSIS) (Safe Work Australia):

Xi; R36 (Irritating to eyes).

### **Exposure standards**

#### ***Australian***

No exposure standards are available.

#### ***International***

The following exposure standards are identified (Galleria Chemica):

Time Weighted Average (TWA) of 5.8 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (2 ppm) in Germany.

United States Department of Energy Temporary Emergency Exposure Limits (TEELs):

- TEEL 0 (no effects) at 10 mg/m<sup>3</sup>;
- TEEL 1 (reversible effects) at 30 mg/m<sup>3</sup>;
- TEEL 2 (irreversible effects) at 50 mg/m<sup>3</sup>; and
- TEEL 3 (life-threatening effects) at 250 mg/m<sup>3</sup>.

## Health Hazard Information

### Toxicokinetics

The only available study examining the toxicokinetics of the chemical is reported in SIDS (OECD 2009) where rats were administered an equivalent of 10.7 mg/kg bw of the chemical as single oral dose of the chemical salt of 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D TIPA salt). A minimum of 83.8% of the chemical was reported to be absorbed. The kidney was reported to be the major route of excretion with 80% of the dose excreted in 24 hours via the urine. Excretion via the faeces and expired air accounted for 7% and 5% respectively after 72 hrs.

### Acute Toxicity

#### *Oral*

The chemical exhibits low acute toxicity in animal tests as evidenced by reported oral LD50 in rats of greater than 2000 mg/kg bw (LD50 = 5994 mg/kg bw and 6500mg/kg bw) (OECD 2009). Observed sub-lethal effects included lethargy, watery eyes and diarrhoea. The oral LD50 in guinea pigs was reported as 1580 mg/kg bw (OECD 2009).

#### *Dermal*

The chemical exhibits low acute toxicity in animal tests as evidenced by reported dermal LD50 in rabbits of greater than 2000 mg/kg bw.

No reported systemic toxicity was reported in two female New Zealand White rabbits exposed to 5000 mg/kg of the chemical as an 85% aqueous solution (OECD 2009). Exposure was achieved over an 24 hrs exposure period via an impervious cuff, adhered onto the trunk. The 14 day follow up evaluation period showed no evidence of systemic toxicity.

#### *Inhalation*

There are no reliable studies available to determine acute inhalation toxicity due to the low vapour pressure of the chemical.

### Corrosion / Irritation

#### *Skin irritation*

Studies were performed in accordance with OECD Test Guideline (TG) 404 (OECD 2009). The chemical irritated the skin of small White Russian rabbits after 4 hours of semi-occlusive application.

In this study (OECD 2009), the chemical was applied to the shaved skin on the dorsal and lateral portion of the rabbit trunk, followed by 14 days' observation. Draize scores of 4 were reported for erythema and oedema, that were reversible within 24 hrs.

In a modified OECD TG 404 study, the chemical was reported as a slight irritating to Vienna White rabbits (REACH 2013) exposed for 1, 5, 15 mins or 20 hours under occlusive conditions. Observations occurred for the following 8 days. The skin was reported as appearing blotched in only the 20 hour application group, with an averaged erythema score of 1.5 for 4 animals after 24, 48 and 72 hours. In one animal, the skin remained scaly after 6 days.

In a non guideline study using one New Zealand White rabbit, the chemical was administered for several applications to the abdomen, resulting in continuous contact with intact skin (either unoccluded or under cotton gauze). Moderate redness, very slight swelling, slight exfoliation and development of a superficial burn were reported (OECD 2009).

There is sufficient evidence to classify the chemical as irritating to skin.

### ***Eye irritation***

The chemical is classified as hazardous with the risk phrase 'Irritating to eyes' (Xi; R36) in HSIS (Safe Work Australia). The data available indicate that the classification should be amended to 'Risk of serious damage to eyes' (Xi; R41).

In an OECD TG 405 study, the chemical was reported as an eye irritant causing irreversible effects in one animal (OECD 2009). Following application of 0.1 g of the chemical into one eye of 6 Russian White rabbits (washed out after 24 hours), animals were observed at 1, 24, 48 and 72 hours, and 5, 7, 10, 12 and 21 days. Mean 24, 48 and 72 hour irritation/corrosion scores for corneal opacity, iris lesions, conjunctival redness and chemosis were 1.22, 0.67, 3 and 0.94 respectively. All irritation parameters were reversible by day 12 for all animals except one. In one rabbit, corneal opacity, iris lesions, conjunctival redness and chemosis scores of 3, 1, 2 and 2 respectively were still observed at 21 days.

In a modified OECD TG 405 study using two rabbits, the chemical was reported as irritating to the eye causing slight opacity, slight to moderate erythema and chemosis. In one animal, corneal opacity was irreversible by the end of the 8 day observation period. All other irritation parameters were reported as reversible.

### ***Respiratory irritation***

The chemical was reported to be a respiratory irritant in Swiss Webster mice, with a 50% respiratory rate decrease (RD50) at 815 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (OECD 2009). Sensory irritation and pulmonary irritation were observed after exposure to an aerosol concentration range from between 329 mg/m<sup>3</sup> to 1070 mg/m<sup>3</sup>, for 3 hours with a 20 minute recovery period. Moderate to good recovery of animals was observed immediately post-exposure. The RD50 value of the chemical was reported to be of moderate potency.

There is sufficient evidence to classify the chemical as irritating to the respiratory system.

### **Sensitisation**

#### ***Skin sensitisation***

The chemical is reported to be not sensitising to the skin of guinea pigs (REACH 2013).

In a modified study using the Maguire method for sensitisation, 10 male Hartley albino guinea pigs received four applications of the chemical (22.9% in water) using a gauze patch, within a 10 day period. The animals were challenged after a two week rest period. No test animals reported signs of hyperaemia or oedema. The data indicate that the chemical is not a skin sensitiser.

#### ***Observation in humans***

A lotion product containing 1.1% of the chemical was reported as not sensitising to the skin (REACH 2013).

The lotion product was applied to 98 volunteers for 48 hrs using open and occlusive patches. After a non-treatment period of approximately 14 days, a second set of open and occlusive patches was applied and scored 48 h later. After scoring the second occlusive patch reactions, these same test sites of all panellists were irradiated for 6 minutes and scored for effects on the skin 48 hrs later. No reactions were observed at the first or second open patch, the first occlusive patch, or 48 h after irradiation. Weak to strong reactions were reported at the second occlusive patch in seven subjects. These reactions were reported as not clinically significant.

## **Repeat Dose Toxicity**

### ***Oral***

Considering the no observed adverse effect level (NOAEL) of 272 mg/kg bw/day from beagle dog studies, and based on the treatment related effects reported in other studies, the chemical is not considered to cause serious damage to health by repeated oral exposure.

The only reliable study available was a 100 day dietary study in beagle dogs conducted in accordance with the US FDA Toxicological Principles for the Safety Assessment of Direct Food Additives and Color Additives Used in Food (OECD 2009). The chemical was administered at 0, 500, 2000 or 7500 ppm to 4 animals/sex/dose (approximate doses of 0, 16.8, 71.2 and 272 mg/kg bw/day in males or 0, 19.7, 78.3 or 288 mg/kg bw/day in females). The NOAEL was reported to be 272 mg/kg bw/day for males, and 288 mg/kg bw/day for females.

In a non-guideline study in Fischer 344 rats, the chemical was administered via drinking water for 14 days at doses of 100, 300, 600, 1200 and 2000 mg/kg bw to 5 animals/sex/dose (OECD 2009). Decreased protein, albumin and glucose, and increased kidney weights were observed in doses greater than or equal to 300 mg/kg bw/day. Histological examinations of the liver, kidney and urinary bladder were reported to not show evidence of an effect.

In another non-guideline drinking water study in Sherman rats, the chemical was administered for 30 days at doses of 140 to 1350 mg/kg bw/day to 5 rats/dose (OECD 2009). Micropathological lesions in liver, kidney, spleen or testes were observed at doses greater than or equal to 260 mg/kg bw/day; the exact nature and extent of these observations were not reported.

### ***Dermal***

Considering the NOAEL for local effects available from rat studies (300 mg/kg bw/day), the chemical is not considered to cause serious damage to health by repeated dermal exposure.

In a 28 day OECD Guideline 410 study in Fischer 344 rats, a NOAEL of 3000 mg/kg bw/day for systemic toxicity and a NOAEL of 300 mg/kg bw/day for local effects was reported (OECD 2009). The chemical was administered via a patch at 300, 1000 or 3000 mg/kg bw/day for 5 days/week (21 applications) to 5 animals/sex/dose. Erythema and scabs were reported in one animal at each of the highest two doses. Most animals at 3000 mg/kg bw/day had dermal hyperplasia in the form of minimal thickening. There were no mortalities and no systemic toxicity reported in treated animals.

### ***Inhalation***

No data are available.

### ***Observation in humans***

The dermal irritancy of a lotion product containing 1.1% of the chemical was evaluated in a 21 day cumulative irritation study using 10 volunteers. Occlusive patches containing 0.3 ml of lotion were applied to the upper back, removed after 23 hrs, washed and then reapplied. Application occurred for 21 consecutive days. Nine out of 10 participants demonstrated a slight skin irritation (REACH 2013).

### ***Genotoxicity***

The chemical is not considered to be genotoxic based on an in vivo and several in vitro studies.

The chemical tested negative in several in vitro (Ames reverse mutation assay, Chinese hamster ovary cell/hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyl-transferase assay and chromosomal aberration assays) and one in vivo (micronucleus assay) test for gene mutation and clastogenicity (OECD 2009).

In an OECD TG 474 guideline in vivo micronucleus assay in mice, a single oral dose of the chemical was administered via gavage at 500, 1000 or 2000 mg/kg bw to 5 animals/sex/dose (REACH 2013). In comparison to the negative control no increases in the rate of micronuclei, differences in the number of small or large micronuclei, or inhibition of erythropoiesis were reported. The chemical was reported to be negative for chromosomal aberration. Irregular respiration and piloerection were reported 30 minutes after administration at all three dose levels, but were not observed after 2 to 4 hrs.

The chemical was also reported as negative for genotoxicity in two in vitro guideline studies using mammalian cells (Chinese Hamster ovary cells and rat lymphocyte cells) with and without metabolic activation, and one in vitro study using bacterial cells (several strains of *S. typhimurium*) with and without metabolic activation (OECD 2009).

### **Carcinogenicity**

The chemical was not considered carcinogenic to the liver of male Wistar rats that were fed 1000 mg/kg bw/day of the chemical in their drinking water for a continuous 104 week treatment (REACH, 2013). No tumour formation in the liver was reported after histological examination and as a result, the chemical is considered to have low carcinogenic potential.

### **Reproductive and developmental toxicity**

The chemical is not considered to be a reproductive or developmental toxicant.

In a one-generation US FDA guideline study, 25 animals/sex/dose of Sprague Dawley rats were fed concentrations of 0, 500, 2000 or 7000 ppm (approximately 0, 39.7, 160 or 609 mg/kg-bw in males or 0, 43.7, 182 or 700 mg/kg-bw in females) of the chemical, for 5 weeks prior to mating, during gestation and lactation (OECD 2009). Offspring (20/sex/dose only) were also administered the same oral doses for 90 days after weaning. Ophthalmologic exams, clinical chemistry tests and urinalysis were conducted. For the F1 generation controls and high-dose animals, histopathological examinations were conducted on all organs; for the low and intermediate dose animals, examinations were conducted on lungs, liver, kidneys and organs with lesions. No adverse clinical, histological or reproductive effects (gestation length, litter numbers, litter size, survival, body weight) were observed. No differences were seen in the number of litters or litter size, survival, body weight or clinical signs. The NOAEL (F1 generation) was reported to be 609 mg/kg bw/day for males and 700mg/kg bw/day for females.

In an OECD TG 414 guideline study (prenatal developmental toxicity) using Wistar rats, the chemical was administered via oral gavage to 25 animals/sex/dose at 0, 100, 400, 1000 mg/kg bw/day, for 20 days (REACH 2013). Maternal toxicity was observed at 1000 mg/kg bw/day. Gestational parameters were not influenced by the chemical in any test dose group. No signs of embryo/foetotoxicity or induced teratogenicity were reported in the highest dose of 1000 mg/kg bw/day. The NOAEL for paternal rats was 400 mg/kg bw/day and 1000 mg/kg bw/day for the foetus.

## **Risk Characterisation**

### **Critical Health Effects**

The chemical possesses hazardous properties such as serious eye damage and irritation to the skin and respiratory system.

These properties are assumed to be related to the basicity of the chemical. If it is neutralised as a buffering agent in commercial formulations, the irritancy would expect to be greatly reduced.

### **Public Risk Characterisation**

Although use in cosmetic products in Australia is not known, the chemical is reported to be used overseas as a buffering agent in cosmetics (CosIng) and in personal care products, where the general public may be exposed to the chemical through dermal and/or inhalation routes. However, based on the limited US information derived from the National Library of Medicine (NLM) Household Products Database, the concentration (0.1 - 1%) in these products is not considered to be sufficiently high to cause corrosive effects. If the concentrations in cosmetics are low, irritation effects are not expected. Therefore, the risk to public health is not considered to be unreasonable and further risk management is not considered necessary for public safety.

### **Occupational Risk Characterisation**

Given the critical health effects, the risk to workers from this chemical is considered low particularly at concentrations below 20% or if adequate control measures to minimise occupational exposure to the

chemical are implemented. The chemical should be appropriately classified and labelled to ensure that a person conducting a business or an employee at a workplace has adequate information to determine appropriate controls. The existing hazard classification for worker health and safety is considered appropriate based on available data.

## NICNAS Recommendation

Assessment of the chemical is considered to be sufficient provided that the recommendation is adopted for the amendment of the classification and labelling of the chemical and all requirements are met under workplace health and safety and poisons legislation as adopted by the relevant state or territory.

## Regulatory Control

### Public Health

Considering the available information to indicate low public exposure from this chemical no regulatory controls are recommended.

### Occupational Health and Safety

The chemical is recommended for classification and labelling under the current Approved Criteria and adopted GHS as below. This does not consider classification of physical hazards and environmental hazards.

	<i>Approved Criteria (HSIS)<sup>a</sup></i>	<i>GHS Classification</i>
Irritation / Corrosivity	Risk of serious eye damage (Xi; R41) Irritating to skin (Xi; R38) Irritating to respiratory system (Xi; R37)	Causes serious eye irritation - Cat. 2A (H319) Causes skin irritation - Cat. 2 (H315) May cause respiratory irritation - Specific target organ tox, single exp Cat. 3 (H335)

<sup>a</sup> Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances [NOHSC:1008(2004)].

\* Existing Hazard Classification. No change recommended to this classification.

## Advice for consumers

Products containing the chemical should be used according to label instructions.

## Advice for industry

### Control measures

Control measures to minimise the risk from dermal, ocular and inhalation exposure to the chemical should be implemented in accordance with the hierarchy of controls. Approaches to minimise risk include substitution, isolation and engineering controls. Measures required to eliminate or minimise risk arising from storage, handling and use of a hazardous chemical are dependent on the physical form and the manner in which the chemical is used. Examples of control measures which may minimise the risk include but are not limited to:

- use of local exhaust ventilation to prevent the chemical from entering the breathing zone of any worker;
- minimisation of manual processes and work tasks through automation of processes;
- work procedures that minimise splashes and spills;
- regular cleaning of equipment and work areas; and
- use of protective equipment that is designed, constructed, and operated to ensure that, the worker does not come into contact with the chemical.

Guidance on managing risks from hazardous chemicals are provided in the *Managing Risks of Hazardous Chemicals in the Workplace—Code of Practice* available on the Safe Work Australia website.

Personal protective equipment should not be relied upon on its own to control risk and should only be used when all other reasonably practicable control measures do not eliminate or sufficiently minimise

risk. Guidance in selection of personal protective equipment can be obtained from Australian, Australian/New Zealand or other approved standards.

***Obligations under workplace health and safety legislation***

Information in this report should be taken into account to assist with meeting obligations under workplace health and safety legislation as adopted by the relevant state or territory. This includes but is not limited to:

- ensuring that hazardous chemicals are correctly classified and labelled;
- ensuring that (material) safety data sheets ((m)SDS) containing accurate information about the hazards (relating to both health and physicochemical (physical) hazards) of chemicals are prepared; and
- management of risks arising from storage, handling and use of a hazardous chemical.

Your work health and safety regulator should be contacted for information on the work health and safety laws in your jurisdiction.

Information on how to prepare an (m)SDS and how to label containers of hazardous chemicals are provided in relevant Codes of Practice such as the *Preparation of Safety Data Sheets for Hazardous Chemicals—Code of Practice* and *Labelling of Workplace Hazardous Chemicals—Code of Practice*, respectively. These Codes of Practice are available from the Safe Work Australia website.

A review of physical hazards of the chemical has not been undertaken as part of this assessment.

## References

2006 NICNAS: Australian High Volume Industrial Chemicals List (AHVICL),

Cosmetics Directive (CosIng). Cosmetics Directive (CosIng). Triisopropanolamine(122-20-3).  
<http://ec.europa.eu/consumers/cosmetics/cosing/index.cfm?fuseaction=search.details&id=39830>.  
Accessed October 2012

OECD 2009, SIAR on propanolamines category (110-97-4 and 122-20-3). Accessed March 2013 at  
[http://webnet.oecd.org/HPV/UI/SIDS\\_Details.aspx?Key=cc6f49cf-77d0-4088-8973-b2260fa9cb4f&idx=0](http://webnet.oecd.org/HPV/UI/SIDS_Details.aspx?Key=cc6f49cf-77d0-4088-8973-b2260fa9cb4f&idx=0)

REACH Dossier 2013. 1,1',1"-nitrilotripropan-2-ol (122-20-3). Accessed March 2013 at  
[http://apps.echa.europa.eu/registered/data/dossiers/DISS-9d9652ed-d0ea-6a48-e044-00144f67d249/DISS-9d9652ed-d0ea-6a48-e044-00144f67d249\\_DISS-9d9652ed-d0ea-6a48-e044-00144f67d249.html](http://apps.echa.europa.eu/registered/data/dossiers/DISS-9d9652ed-d0ea-6a48-e044-00144f67d249/DISS-9d9652ed-d0ea-6a48-e044-00144f67d249_DISS-9d9652ed-d0ea-6a48-e044-00144f67d249.html)

Substances in Preparations in Nordic Countries (SPIN). Triisopropanolamine(122-20-3).  
[http://fmp.spin2000.net/fmi/xsl/spin/SPIN/maininfo.xsl?-db=SPINstof&-lay=SPINnavn&-skip=0&-max=1&casnr.op=eq&casnr=122-20-3&SPINnavn%3A%3A%3Anavn.op=eq&SPINnavn%3A%3Anavn=&ec\\_nr.op=eq&ec\\_nr=&-find=Search+Spin](http://fmp.spin2000.net/fmi/xsl/spin/SPIN/maininfo.xsl?-db=SPINstof&-lay=SPINnavn&-skip=0&-max=1&casnr.op=eq&casnr=122-20-3&SPINnavn%3A%3A%3Anavn.op=eq&SPINnavn%3A%3Anavn=&ec_nr.op=eq&ec_nr=&-find=Search+Spin). Accessed October 2012.